

On the effect of external forces on incompressible fluid motions at large distances

Hyeong-Ohk Bae

Departement of Mathematics, School of Natural Sciences
Ajou University, Suwon 443-749, Korea
hobae@ajou.ac.kr

Lorenzo Brandolese

Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1
CNRS, UMR 5208 Institut Camille Jordan,
43, bd. du 11 novembre 1918
F - 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.
brandolese@math.univ-lyon1.fr

April 1st, 2009

Keywords: *Navier–Stokes, asymptotic profiles, asymptotic behavior, far-field, spatial infinity, weak solutions, strong solutions, incompressible viscous flows, decay estimates.*

2000 Mathematics subject classification 76D05, 35Q30.

1 Introduction

Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. We consider the Navier–Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^d , for an incompressible fluid submitted to an external force $f: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = \Delta u - \nabla p + f \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = a(x) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

We want to investigate the effect of the external force on the large time and spatial asymptotics of the solution. In particular, we will show that even if f is small and well localized (say, compactly supported in space-time) but with non-zero mean, then the velocity of the fluid particles at *all times* $t > 0$ and in *all point* x outside of balls $B(0, R(t))$ with large radii, is consirerably faster than in the case of the free Navier–Stokes equations.

This sharply contrasts with the asymptotic properties of the solution of other semilinear parabolic equations, where localized external forces do not affect the behavior of the solution as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$: only the large time behavior is influenced by the force.

The main results of the paper will be stated in Section 3: they include new sharp pointwise estimates of the form

$$ct|x|^{-d} \leq |u(x, t)| \leq c't|x|^{-d}, \quad (2)$$

valid for $0 < t < t_0$ small enough and $|x| \geq R(t)$. Such pointwise estimates should be compared to those in the case of the Navier–Stokes equations without forcing studied in [5], where the behavior of u is like $t|x|^{-d-1}$. The constant c in (2) essentially depends on the integral of f .

Estimates (2) can be applied, *e.g.* to the case of compactly supported initial data. In such case, they describe how fast fluid particles start their motion in the far field, at the beginning of the evolution. An even more precise description of the motion of flows with localized data at large distances will be given by the following asymptotic profile, valid for all $t > 0$ such that the strong solution u is defined and for all $|x| \geq R(t)$:

$$u(x, t) \simeq \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathfrak{K}(x)$ is the matrix of the second order derivatives of the fundamental solution of the Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^d . As such, $\mathfrak{K}_{j,k}(x)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $-d$. See Theorem 1, Section 3, for a more precise statement.

As a consequence of our asymptotic profiles one can also recover some known bounds on the large time behavior of L^p -norms for strong solutions with very short proofs. See, *e.g.*, [1, 2, 7, 8, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20] for a small sample of recent works on this topic and related developements. Our approach is different from that of these papers since it consists in deducing information on spatial norms about the solution from information on their pointwise behavior. As such, it is not so well suited for the study of weak solutions as it is for that of strong, small solutions. However, its advantage is that it allows to get sharp estimates, both from above and below, also in the case of L^p -norms with weight like, *e.g.*, $(1 + |x|)^\alpha$, for a wider range of the parameters p and α . Namely, we will prove that, under localization assumptions on the datum and the force, and provided

$$\int_0^\infty \int f(y, s) dy ds \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^d},$$

then, for $1 < p \leq \infty$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|(1 + |x|)^\alpha u(t)\|_p \simeq ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-\alpha-d/p)}, \quad \text{if } \alpha + d/p < d.$$

The above restriction on the parameters p and α are optimal, as we will show that, for all $t > 0$, and $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|(1 + |x|)^\alpha u(t)\|_p = +\infty \quad \text{if } \alpha + d/p \geq d.$$

See Theorem 2, Section 3, for more precise statements.

2 Preliminary material

The assumptions on the external force will be the following:

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \epsilon \left[(1 + |x|)^{-d-2} \wedge (1 + t)^{-(d+2)/2} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \epsilon \quad (5)$$

for some (small) $\epsilon > 0$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 0$. Note that assumption (5) can be interpreted as a logarithmic improvement on the decay estimate (4). Of course, an additional potential force $\nabla \Phi$ could also be added, affecting in this way the pressure of the fluid, but not its velocity field. On the other hand, because of condition (5), and due to the unboundedness of singular integrals (the Riesz transforms) in L^1 and, we are not allowed to apply the Helmholtz decomposition to f . Therefore, we will *not* restrict ourselves to divergence-free external forces, as it is sometimes the case in the literature.

The above assumptions on f , as well as the smallness assumption on the datum below, look stringent: we simply put assumptions that allow us to provide the shortest possible and self-contained construction of strong solutions with some decay. Indeed, our main goal will be to show that even in the case of very nicely behaved external forces (with non-zero mean) and data, the solution will be badly behaved at infinity. More precisely, *upper bounds* on f and $a = u(0)$, no matter how good, will lead to *lower bounds* on $u(t)$.

But for the time being, we concentrate on the simpler problem of upper bounds on u , and start by establishing the following simple result.

Proposition 1 *Assume that f satisfies (4)-(5). Let also $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a divergence-free vector field such that, $\|a\|_1 < \epsilon$ and $|a(x)| \leq \epsilon(1 + |x|)^{-d}$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough, then there exists a unique strong solution u of the Navier-Stokes equation (NS) satisfying, for some constant $C > 0$, the pointwise decay estimates*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C\epsilon \left[(1 + |x|)^{-d} \wedge (1 + t)^{-d/2} \right], \quad (6)$$

and such that $u(0) = a$ (in the sense of the a.e. and distributional convergence as $t \rightarrow 0$). In particular, for $\alpha \geq 0$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, we have

$$\left\| (1 + |x|)^\alpha u(t) \right\|_p \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(d - \alpha - \frac{d}{p})}, \quad \text{when } \alpha + d/p < d. \quad (7)$$

The above estimate remains true in the limit case $(\alpha, p) = (d, \infty)$.

Remark 1 The Proposition above can be viewed as the limit case of a previous result by Takahashi [18], where pointwise decay estimates of the form $|u(x, t)| \leq C[(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma} \wedge (1 + t)^{-\gamma/2}]$ had been obtained for $0 \leq \gamma < d$, with a different method and under different assumptions.

There are, on the other hand, many other methods for proving sharp upper bounds of the form

$$\|(1 + |x|)^\alpha u(t)\|_p \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(d - \alpha - d/p)},$$

For example, it would be possible to adapt the arguments of [7] or [12] to the case of non-zero external forces. See also [1], [14] [19] for other different approaches for getting decay estimates. However, the optimal range of the parameters α and p for the validity of such estimate is not discussed in the previous papers. Our condition $\alpha + d/p < d$ is more general, and turns out to be optimal whenever f has non-zero mean, as we will show in Theorem 2.

We denote by \mathbb{P} be the usual Leray projector onto the solenoidal vector fields and with $e^{t\Delta}$ the heat semigroup. The solution of Proposition 1 is obtained by a straightforward

fixed point argument by solving the equivalent integral formulation:

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = e^{t\Delta}a - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(s) ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} f(s) ds \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Let $\mathbb{K}(x, t)$ be the kernel of $e^{t\Delta} \mathbb{P}$. It is well known, and easy to check that, for all $t > 0$, $\mathbb{K}(\cdot, t)$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and satisfies the scaling relation $\mathbb{K}(x, t) = t^{-d/2} \mathbb{K}(x/\sqrt{t}, 1)$, and the decay estimates

$$|\mathbb{K}(x, t)| \leq C [|x|^{-d} \wedge t^{-d/2}], \quad (9)$$

$$|\nabla \mathbb{K}(x, t)| \leq C [|x|^{-d-1} \wedge t^{-(d+1)/2}]. \quad (10)$$

The following Lemma is an immediate consequence of the above decay estimates.

Lemma 1 *For all (x, t) such that $|x| \geq e\sqrt{t}$ and $t > 0$, the kernel $\mathbb{K}(x, t)$ satisfies:*

$$\int_0^t \int_{|y| \leq |x|} |\mathbb{K}(y, s)| dy ds \leq Ct \log(|x|/\sqrt{t}). \quad (11)$$

The proof of Proposition 1 relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let f be such that (4)-(5) holds. Let \mathbb{L} be the linear operator defined by*

$$\mathbb{L}(f) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} f(s) ds. \quad (12)$$

Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbb{L}(f)(x, t)| \leq C\epsilon [(1 + |x|)^{-d} \wedge (1 + t)^{-d/2}]. \quad (13)$$

Proof. We give a proof based on Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}$, see [3, 10] for their definition and basic properties, since Lorentz spaces will play a role also in the sequel. For simplicity, we will drop the constant ϵ throughout the proof. By inequality (4) we readily get

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(d+2-\frac{d}{p})}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Now, interpolating the $L^{p,q}$ -space between $L^{p_1,\infty}$ and L^∞ , with $1 < p_1 < p$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, we deduce

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^{p,q}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(d+2-\frac{d}{p})}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty. \quad (14)$$

In particular, choosing $p = q = d$,

$$\|f(s)\|_{L^d} \leq C(1+s)^{-(d+1)/2}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (9) (or by well known L^p -estimates on the kernel \mathbb{K}), that $\|\mathbb{K}(\cdot, t-s)\|_{d/(d-1)} \leq C(t-s)^{-1/2}$. By Young inequality we get $\|K(t-s) * f(s)\|_\infty \leq C(t-s)^{-1/2}(1+s)^{-(d+1)/2}$. Another obvious estimate estimate is

$$\|K(t-s) * f(s)\|_\infty \leq C(t-s)^{-d/2} \|f(s)\|_1.$$

Now splitting the intergral defining \mathbb{L} at $s = t/2$ we get

$$\|L(f)(t)\|_\infty \leq C(1+t)^{-d/2}.$$

In particular, for $|x| \leq e\sqrt{t}$, we obtain $|\mathbb{L}(f)(x, t)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-d}$ and now we can limit ourselves to the case $|x| \geq e\sqrt{t}$ and $|x| \geq 1$. We write $\mathbb{L}(f) = \mathbb{L}_1(f) + \mathbb{L}_2(f)$, where $\mathbb{L}_1(f) = \int_0^t \int_{|x-y| \leq |x|/2} \dots$ and $\mathbb{L}_2(f) = \int_0^t \int_{|x-y| \geq |x|/2} \dots$. For treating $\mathbb{L}_1(f)$, we use assumption (4) and obtain, after changing the variables $z = x - y$ and $\tau = t - s$, by Lemma 1,

$$|\mathbb{L}_1(f)(x, t)| \leq Ct|x|^{-d-2} \log(|x|/\sqrt{t}) \leq C|x|^{-d},$$

where we used that $|x| \geq e\sqrt{t}$. Using estimate (9) and assumption (5) we get $|\mathbb{L}_2(f)(x, t)| \leq C|x|^{-d}$. □

Direct estimates on the heat kernel show that for $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\|a\|_1 \leq \epsilon$ and $|a(x)| \leq \epsilon(1+|x|)^{-d}$, we have, for some constant $C > 0$ independent on x and $t \geq 0$,

$$|e^{t\Delta}a(x)| \leq C\epsilon \left[(1+|x|)^{-d} \wedge (1+t)^{-d/2} \right]. \quad (15)$$

Owing to estimate (13), the fixed point argument used in Miyakawa [13], where the solution was constructed in the special case $f \equiv 0$, goes through in our situation. More precisely, the approximate solutions u_k , constructed in the usual manner (see, *e.g.* [9, 13]) converge in the Banach space of measurable functions of the (x, t) -variables bounded by the right-hand side of (15). The existence and the unicity of a solution u satisfying the pointwise estimates (6) follows.

It is straightforward to see that any function satisfying estimates (6) must also verify the weighted- L^p bounds (7). This can be proved first by bounding the $L^{p,\infty}$ -norms of $(1+|x|)^\alpha u(t)$ and then interpolating the L^p -space between $L^{p_1,\infty}$ and L^∞ with $1 < p_1 < p$. This is exactly the same argument that we applied to deduce estimate (14).

This completes the proof of Proposition 1. □

Denote by Γ the Euler Gamma function and by E_d the fundamental solution of $-\Delta$ in \mathbb{R}^d . The following Lemma (proved in [6]) will be useful:

Lemma 3 *Let $\mathfrak{K} = (\mathfrak{K}_{j,k})$, where $\mathfrak{K}_{j,k}(x)$ is the homogeneous function of degree $-d$*

$$\mathfrak{K}_{j,k}(x) = \partial_{x_j, x_k}^2 E_d(x) = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{2\pi^{d/2}} \cdot \frac{(-\delta_{j,k}|x|^2 + dx_j x_k)}{|x|^{d+2}}. \quad (16)$$

Then the following decomposition holds:

$$\mathbb{K}(x, t) = \mathfrak{K}(x) + |x|^{-d} \Psi\left(x/\sqrt{t}\right), \quad (17)$$

where Ψ is a function defined on \mathbb{R}^d , smooth outside the origin and such that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, and $x \neq 0$, $|\partial^\alpha \Psi(x)| \leq C e^{-c|x|^2}$, where C and c are positive constant, depending on $|\alpha|$ but not on x .

Before going further, let us put an additional assumption on the external force, namely

$$\| |x|f(\cdot, t) \|_1 \leq C(1+t)^{-1/2}, \quad \text{for some } C > 0 \text{ and all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

Just like condition (5), we can view assumption (18) as another logarithmic improvement on the decay estimate (4). However, here the smallness of the constant $C > 0$ is unessential.

Next Lemma provides an explicit asymptotic expansion for $\mathbb{L}(f)(x, t)$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The function of the (x, t) -variables \mathcal{R}_f defined by relation (19) below can be viewed as a remainder term, that can be neglected at large distances, when $|x| \gg \sqrt{t}$.

Lemma 4 *Let f such that assumptions (4)-(5) and (18) hold. For $x \neq 0$, and $t > 0$, define $\mathcal{R}_f(x, t)$, through the relation*

$$\mathbb{L}(f)(x, t) = \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds + \mathcal{R}_f(x, t). \quad (19)$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_f(x, t)$ satisfies, for some constant $C > 0$,

$$\forall |x| \geq e\sqrt{t}: \quad |\mathcal{R}_f(x, t)| \leq C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}. \quad (20)$$

Remark 2 The product on the right hand side of (19) is the usual product between the $d \times d$ matrix $\mathfrak{K}(x)$ and the d -vector field $\int_0^t \int f_k(y, s) dy ds$. The j -component is thus given by $\sum_{k=1}^d \mathfrak{K}_{j,k}(x) \int_0^t \int f_k(y, s) dy ds$.

Proof. We introduce a function $\phi(x, t)$ through the relation

$$f(x, s) = \left(\int f(y, t) dy \right) g(x) + \phi(x, t), \quad (21)$$

where $g_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x|^2/(4t))$ is the gaussian and $g = g_1$. Identity (21) is inspired by a paper by Schonbek, [16]. See also [5], where a similar idea was used to write an asymptotic expansion of the nonlinear term. From $e^{t\Delta}g = g_{t+1}$ and from the fact that \mathbb{P} commutes with the heat kernel we get (applying also Lemma 3) the decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}(f)(x, t) = \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds + \mathcal{R}_1(x, t) + \mathcal{R}_2(x, t),$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1(x, t) = |x|^{-d} \int_0^t \Psi(x/\sqrt{t+1-s}) \int f(y, s) dy ds$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_2(x, t) = \int_0^t \int \mathbb{K}(x - y, t - s) \phi(y, s) dy ds.$$

Using that $|\Psi(x)| \leq C|x|^{-1}$ (this is a consequence of Lemma 3) we obtain

$$|\mathcal{R}_1(x, t)| \leq C|x|^{-d-1} \int_0^t \sqrt{t+1-s} \|f(s)\|_1 ds \leq C\sqrt{t}|x|^{-d-1}.$$

For treating \mathcal{R}_2 we use that

$$\int \phi(y, s) dy = 0$$

and apply the Taylor formula. We thus obtain the decomposition $\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_{2,1} + \dots + \mathcal{R}_{2,4}$, with

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,1} = - \int_0^t \int_{|y| \leq |x|/2} \int_0^1 \nabla_x \mathbb{K}(x - \theta y, t - s) d\theta \cdot y \phi(y, s) dy ds,$$

next

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,2} + \mathcal{R}_{2,3} = \left(\int_0^t \int_{|x-y| \leq |x|/2} + \int_0^t \int_{|y| \geq |x|/2, |x-y| \geq |x|/2} \right) \mathbb{K}(x - y, t - s) \phi(y, s) dy ds,$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{2,4} = - \int_0^t \mathbb{K}(x, t - s) \int_{|y| \geq |x|/2} \phi(y, s) dy ds.$$

The term $\mathcal{R}_{2,1}$ is bounded using estimate (10) and the estimate (deduced from (18))

$$\| |x| \phi(s) \|_1 \leq C(1 + s)^{-1/2}.$$

This yields $|\mathcal{R}_{2,1}(x, t)| \leq C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}$.

The other three terms can be treated observing that

$$|\phi(y, s)| \leq C(1 + |y|)^{-d-2}.$$

Then applying Lemma 1 we get, for $|x| \geq e\sqrt{t}$, $|\mathcal{R}_{2,2}(x, t)| \leq Ct|x|^{-d-2} \log(|x|/\sqrt{t}) \leq C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}$. For the $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}$ term, we can observe that the integrand is bounded by $C|y|^{-2d-2}$. Therefore, $|\mathcal{R}_{2,3}(x, t)| \leq Ct|x|^{-d-2} \leq C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}$. The term $\mathcal{R}_{2,4}$ can be estimated in the same way. □

3 Main results

From the previous Lemma we now deduce the following result: it completes to the case $f \neq 0$ the asymptotic profile constructed in [5].

Theorem 1 *Let f , a and u be as in Proposition 1. We assume that f satisfies also condition (18). Then (the notation is explained in Remark 2)*

$$u(x, t) = e^{t\Delta} a(x) + \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds + \mathcal{R}(x, t), \quad (22)$$

for some function \mathcal{R} satisfying

$$\forall |x| \geq e\sqrt{t}: \quad |\mathcal{R}(x, t)| \leq C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}, \quad (23)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent on x and t .

Remark 3 This theorem essentially states that if $t > 0$ is fixed and $\int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, then

$$u(x, t) \simeq e^{t\Delta} a(x) + \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Owing to our previous Lemma, the only thing that remains to do is to write u through Duhamel formula (8) and show that

$$B(u, u) \equiv \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u)(s) ds$$

can be bounded by $C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}$. In fact, a stronger estimate will be proved. Note that the convolution kernel $F(x, t)$ of $e^{t\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla$ satisfies to the same decay estimates of $\nabla \mathbb{K}$ (see (10)). Therefore, after writing

$$B(u, u)(x, t) = \int_0^t \int F(x - y, t - s)(u \otimes u)(y, s) dy ds,$$

then splitting the spatial integral into $|y| \leq |x|/2$ and $|y| \geq |x|/2$, and using that $\|F(\cdot, t - s)\|_1 = c(t - s)^{-1/2}$, we get

$$|B(u, u)|(x, t) \leq C|x|^{-d-1} \int_0^t \|u\|_2^2 ds + (1 + |x|)^{-2d} \sqrt{t}.$$

When $d \geq 3$, by estimate (7) with $\alpha = 0$ and $p = 2$, we get $\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C(1 \wedge t)$, which is enough to conclude. When $d = 2$, we have only $\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C \log(1 + t)$. (such facts on the L^2 -norm on u also follow from Schonbek's results [15, 16]). This yields in particular the required bound

$$|B(u, u)|(x, t) \leq C\sqrt{t}|x|^{-d-1}.$$

□

Remark 4 The asymptotic profile (22) leads us to study the homogeneous vector fields of the form:

$$\vec{m}(x) = \mathfrak{K}(x) \vec{c}, \quad \vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_d).$$

Notice that \vec{m} has a zero in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $\vec{c} = \vec{0}$. Indeed, we can limit ourselves to the points $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and recalling (16) we see that \vec{m} vanishes at the point ω if and only if

$$d\omega_j \omega \cdot \vec{c} = c_j, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Multiplying scalarly with ω we get $(d - 1)\omega \cdot \vec{c} = 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. As $d \geq 2$, we obtain $\vec{c} = 0$. Applying this observation to our situation we get

$$\inf_{x \neq 0} |x|^d \left| \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds \right| > 0$$

for all $t > 0$ such that $\int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds \neq 0$.

A first interesting consequence of Theorem 1 is the following:

Corollary 1 1. Let a , f and u as in Theorem 1. We additionally assume that $a = u(0)$ satisfies $a(x) = o(|x|^{-d})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $t > 0$ be fixed, such that $\int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. Then for some locally bounded function $R(t) > 0$ ($R(t)$ can blow up for $t \rightarrow \infty$ or $t \rightarrow 0$) and all $|x| \geq R(t)$, we have

$$c_t |x|^{-d} \leq |u(x, t)| \leq c'_t |x|^{-d} \quad (24)$$

for some constant $c_t, c'_t > 0$ independent on x

2. (Short time behavior of the flow) If in addition $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $f_0 = f(\cdot, 0)$ is such that $\int f_0(y) dy \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, then the behavior of u at the beginning of the evolution can be described in the following way: there exists a time $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < t < t_0$ and all $|x| \geq R(t)$

$$ct |x|^{-d} \leq |u(x, t)| \leq c' t |x|^{-d},$$

for some constants $c, c' > 0$ independent on x and t .

Proof. Let

$$\vec{m}_t(x) \equiv \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^t \int f(y, s) dy ds.$$

We apply the asymptotic expansion (22) for u and study each term in this expression. By the non-zero mean condition on f and Remark 4, $3|\vec{m}_t(x)|$ can be bounded from above and from below as in (24), for some $0 < c_t < c'_t$. Estimate (23) shows that $|\mathcal{R}(x, t)| \leq c_t |x|^{-d}$ provided $|x| \geq R(t)$ and $R(t) > 0$ is taken sufficiently large.

On the other hand, the bound $|g_t(x - y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}$ and $\|g_t\|_1 = 1$ for the heat kernel lead to

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{t\Delta}a(x)| &\leq C \int_{|y| \leq |x|/2} \sqrt{t}|x - y|^{-d-1}|a(y)| dy + \int_{|y| \geq |x|/2} g_t(x - y)|a(y)| dy \\ &\leq C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t}\|a\|_1 + \text{ess sup}_{|y| \geq |x|/2} |a(y)| \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and since $|a(y)| = o(|y|^{-d})$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, this expression is also bounded by $c_t |x|^{-d}$ for $|x| \geq R(t)$ and a sufficiently large $R(t)$.

In conclusion the first and third terms in expansion (22) can be absorbed by $\vec{m}_t(x)$. The first conclusion of the corollary then follows. The second conclusion is now immediate, because for $t > 0$ small enough and $|x| \geq R(t)$ large enough, one can find two constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\alpha t |x|^{-d} \leq |\vec{m}_t(x)| \leq \beta t |x|^{-d}$$

(the condition $\int f_0(y) dy \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is needed only for the lower bound).

□

Remark 5 The result for the free Navier–Stokes equation ($f \equiv 0$) is different (see [5]): instead of (24) one in general obtains estimates of the form

$$c_t |x|^{-d-1} \leq |u(x, t)| \leq c'_t |x|^{-d-1}.$$

Therefore, an external force, even if small and compactly supported in space-time, has the effect of increasing the velocity of the fluid particles *at all points* at large distances.

We obtain, as another consequence of Theorem 1, the following result for the large time behavior.

Theorem 2 *Let a , f and u as in Theorem 1. We additionally assume that $a = u(0)$ satisfies $a(x) = o(|x|^{-d})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and that*

$$\int_0^\infty \int f(y, s) dy ds \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$$

Then, for $\alpha \geq 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, for some $t_0 > 0$, some constants $c, c' > 0$ and for all $t > t_0$ we have,

$$ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-\alpha-\frac{d}{p})} \leq \left\| (1+|x|)^\alpha u(t) \right\|_p \leq c't^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-\alpha-\frac{d}{p})}, \quad \text{when } \alpha + d/p < d. \quad (26)$$

The above inequalities remain true in the limit case $(\alpha, p) = (d, \infty)$.

On the other hand,

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^\alpha u(t) \right\|_p = +\infty \quad \text{when } \alpha + d/p \geq d, \quad (27)$$

and the above equality remains true in the limit cases $p = 1$ or $(\alpha > d \text{ and } p = \infty)$.

Proof. The upper bound in (26) has been already proved in Proposition 1. The proof makes use of an argument used before in [5]. By our assumption on f and Remark 4, the homogeneous function

$$\vec{m}(x) \equiv \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_0^\infty \int f(y, s) dy ds$$

does not vanish. Therefore, for some $c_0 > 0$, we obtain $|\vec{m}(x)| \geq c_0|x|^{-d}$. Let us apply the profile (22), writing the second term on the right hand side as $\vec{m}(x) - \mathfrak{K}(x) \int_t^\infty \int f(y, s) dy ds$. We get for a sufficiently large $M > 0$, all $t > M$ and all $|x| \geq M\sqrt{t}$,

$$|u(x, t) - e^{t\Delta}a| \geq |\vec{m}(x)| - C|x|^{-d-1}\sqrt{t} - \frac{c_0}{2}|x|^{-d} \geq \frac{c_0}{3}|x|^{-d}.$$

On the other hand the computation (25) guarantees that we can bound, for $|x| \geq M\sqrt{t}$ and $t > M$, $|e^{t\Delta}a(x)| \leq \frac{c_0}{12}|x|^{-d}$. We thus get

$$|u(x, t)| \geq \frac{c_0}{4}|x|^{-d}, \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq M\sqrt{t}, \quad t > M. \quad (28)$$

Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. Multiplying this inequality by the weight $(1+|x|)^{\alpha p}$, then integrating with respect to x on the set $|x| \geq M\sqrt{t}$, we immediately deduce

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^\alpha u(x, t) \right\|_p \geq ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-\alpha-\frac{d}{p})}$$

for some $c > 0$ and all $t > M$. In the same way, inequality (28) also implies the lower bound (26) in the limit case $p = \infty$ and conclusion (27). The upper bounds for u obtained in Proposition 1 complete the proof. \square

The lower bounds obtained in (26) are invariant under the time translation $t \rightarrow t + t_*$, but the hypothesis made on f , $\int_0^\infty \int f(y, s) dy ds \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, is not. The explanation is that the conditions on the datum, $a(x) = o(|x|^{-d})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, and $a \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, in general, are not conserved at later times. In fact, according to (22), one has $|u(x, t_*)| = o(|x|^{-d})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\int_0^{t_*} \int f(y, s) dy ds = 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$.

We did not treat the case of external forces with vanishing integrals. In that case, the principal term in the asymptotic expansion (19) of u as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ disappears. A similar method, however, can be used to write the next term in the asymptotics which equals

$$\nabla \mathfrak{K}(x): \int_0^t \int y \otimes f(y, s) dy ds$$

(more explicitly, $\sum_{h,k} \partial_h \mathfrak{K}_{j,k}(x) \int_0^t \int y_h f_k(y, s) dy ds$, for the j -component, $j = 1, \dots, d$). For this we need to put more stringent assumptions on the decay of f : the spatial decay must be increased of a factor $(1 + |x|)^{-1}$ and the time decay by a factor $(1 + t)^{-1/2}$. Assumptions (5)-(18) should also be sharpened accordingly. For well localized initial data $a(x)$, then one would deduce, bounds of the form

$$c_t |x|^{-d-1} \leq |u(x, t)| \leq c'_t |x|^{-d-1}$$

and

$$\|(1 + |x|)^\alpha u(t)\|_p \simeq ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(d+1-\alpha-d/p)}, \quad \text{for } \alpha + d/p < d + 1.$$

However, as for the free Navier–Stokes equations (see [5]), suitable additional *non-symmetry* conditions on the flow and on the matrix $\int_0^t \int y \otimes f(y, s) dy ds$ should be added for the validity of the lower bounds.

4 Acknowledgments

The preparation of this paper was supported by EGIDE, through the program Huber-Curien “Star” N. 16560RK

References

- [1] C. Amrouche, V. Girault, M. E. Schonbek, T. P. Schonbek *Pointwise Decay of Solutions and of higher derivatives to Navier–Stokes Equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **31**, N. 4, 740–753, (2000).
- [2] H.-O. Bae, B.J. Jin, *Upper and lower bounds of temporal and spatial decays for the Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Diff. Eq. **209**, 365–391 (2006).
- [3] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, an Introduction*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1976).
- [4] L. Brandolese, *Asymptotic behavior of the energy and pointwise estimates for solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **20**, 223–256 (2004).

- [5] L. Brandolese, F. Vigneron, *New Asymptotic Profiles of nonstationary solutions of the Navier–Stokes system*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **88** (2007) 64–86.
- [6] L. Brandolese, *Fine properties of self-similar solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **192**, N.3, 375–401 (2009).
- [7] H. J. Choe, B. J. Jin, *Weighted estimates of the asymptotic profiles of the Navier–Stokes flow in \mathbb{R}^n* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **344**, N.1, 353–366 (2008).
- [8] C. He, Z. Xin, *On the decay properties of Solutions to the nonstationary Navier–Stokes Equations in \mathbb{R}^3* , Proc. Roy. Edinburgh Soc. Sect. A, **131**, N. 3, 597–619 (2001).
- [9] T. Kato, *Strong L^p -Solutions of the Navier–Stokes Equations in \mathbb{R}^m , with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Z., **187**, 471–480 (1984).
- [10] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Recent developements in the Navier–Stokes problem*, Chapman & Hall, CRC Press Boca Raton (2002).
- [11] I. Kukavica, J. J. Torres, *Weighted bounds for velocity and vorticity for the Navier–Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity **19**, 293–303 (2006).
- [12] I. Kukavica, J. J. Torres, *Weighted L^p decay for solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **32**, 819–831, 2007.
- [13] T. Miyakawa, *On space time decay properties of nonstationary incompressible Navier–Stokes flows in \mathbb{R}^n* , Funkcial. Ekvac., **32**, N. 2, 541–557 (2000).
- [14] M. Oliver, E. Titi, *Remark on the rate of decay of higher order derivatives for solutions to the Navier–stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , J. Funct. Anal. **172**, 1–18 (2000).
- [15] M. E. Schonbek, *L^2 decay for Weak Solutions of the Navier–Stokes Equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **88**, 209–222 (1985).
- [16] M. E. Schonbek, *Lower Bounds of Rates of Decay for Solutions to the Navier–Stokes Equations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **4**, N. 3, 423–449 (1991).
- [17] Z. Skaák, *Asymptotic decay of higher-order norms of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3* , preprint (2009), <http://mat.fsv.cvut.cz/nales/preprints/>.
- [18] S. Takahashi, *A weighted equation approach to decay rate estimates for the Navier–Stokes equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, **37**, 751–789 (1999).
- [19] Qi S. Zhang, *Global solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations with large L^2 norms in a new function space*, Adv. Diff. Eq., **9** N.5-6, (2004) 587–624.
- [20] Y. Zhou, *A remark on the decay of solutions to the 3-D Navier–Stokes equations*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **30**, (2007) 1223–1229.